Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/867,906	TAKECHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	ion:
(1) <u>LEYNNA T. HA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Stephen Weed.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 June 2006	Time: <u>11:50AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	e a written summary of the substance e of the interview, since the interview
hum A		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Represen	tative Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made a curteosy call to inform applicant's attorney, Mr. Stephen Weed, that the office has not received a response to the Final office action mailed on January 11, 2006. Mr. Weed responded that there won't be any further response for this application. Mr.Weed asked what will happen after this. Examiner informed him that a notice of abandonment will be sent to him shortly.